

## **EVALUATION FORM MASTER'S DISSERTATION**

MASTER'S PROGE	RAMMES		INEERIN DITS)	IG TE	ЕСН	NOLOG	Y (18 EC	TS
ACADEMIC YEAR:	EXA	MINATI	ON PER	IOD:				
Title of the master's dissertation	on							
Name student								
Programme student								
Dissertation advisory committee	ee							
(= begeleidingscommissie)								
Dissertation assessment								
committee								
(=beoordelingscommissie)								
Date dissertation defence								
Note: only 1 cross per subtopi	`			).	-:	Cood	l Van	Excellent
Assessment of the personal features	Very poor (0-7)	Weak (8-9)	Pass (10-11)	(12-		Good (14-15)	Very good	(18-20)
(to be filled in by the	(0 1)	(0 0)	(10 11)	(12	10)	(1110)	(16-17)	(10 20)
dissertation advisory								
committee, in consensus)								
Creative and solution-								
oriented thinking								
Effort								
Critical attitude								
Autonomy								
TOTAL			I				l.	I.
10 % of total assessment							/20	
	1							
Assessment of the practical	Very poor	Weak	Pass	Suffic		Good	Very	Excellent
realization (to be filled in by	(0-7)	(8-9)	(10-11)	(12-	13)	(14-15)	good	(18-20)
the dissertation advisory							(16-17)	
committee, in consensus)								
Scientific approach								
Technical realization								
Report on the daily work								
Result								
Innovative aspect				<u> </u>				
TOTAL							/00	
40 % of total assessment							/20	
Assessment of the	Very poor	Weak	Pass	Suffic	cient	Good	Very	Excellent
dissertation or the product	(0-7)	(8-9)	(10-11)	(12-		(14-15)	good	(18-20)
(to be filled in by the		•		'	•	ĺ	(16-17)	
dissertation assessment								
committee, in consensus)								
Scientific aspects				1				
-								



Technical quality					
Lay-out					
Structure					
Language					
Extended abstract					
Reflection on sustainability					
TOTAL					
30 % of total assessment				/20	

Assessment of the public	Very poor	Weak	Pass	Sufficien	Good	Very	Excellent
defence (to be filled in by the	(0-7)	(8-9)	(10-11)	(12-13)	(14-15)	good	(18-20)
dissertation assessment						(16-17)	
committee, in consensus)							
Presentation (content,							
structure, lay-out)							
Presentation techniques							
Questions and answers							
TOTAL							
20 % of total assessment						/20	

FINAL MARK (automatic calculation)*		/20
	••••	/20
- PERSONAL FEATURES	10 %	/20
- PRACTICAL REALIZATION	40 %	/20
- MASTER'S DISSERTATION	30 %	/20
- PUBLIC DEFENCE	20 %	/20

OTIVATIVE GROUNDS (compulsory**):	

If the score on one of the four evaluation categories is 7/20 or less than 7/20, the committee can conclude, by consensus, that the student can no longer pass the entire master's dissertation.

If that is the case, and if the final mark according to the calculation percentages is 10/20 (or more), the final mark will be reduced to the highest failing mark, 9/20.

If these special conditions apply, a specific argumentation and a fair justification is required based on the final competences of the master's dissertation.

<sup>\*</sup>Specific conditions for passing the master's dissertation:

<sup>\*\*</sup>If the score of one of the four evaluation categories or one of the sub criteria is below 10/20, a specific argumentation is necessary.



## **ANNEXES**

One PDF file can be uploaded in Plato (jury members, other evaluation documents etc.). If there are multiple documents, please combine them before uploading.

## DATE:

Autographs Dissertation advisory committee Autographs Dissertation assessment committee (electronically in Plato)

After the announcement of the examination marks (according to the faculty's academic calendar), the student can consult the assessment form. The student will be able to consult the motivate grounds, the partial marks and the final score.